From: Sent:

11 August 2022 16:32

To:

Subject:

RE: more 'in meeting' tweeting/revealing contributors



From:

Sent: 11 August 2022 13:56

To:

Subject: RE: more 'in meeting' tweeting/revealing contributors

Importance: High

Hi

Quick question re the below. Would the meeting below have been Jen,
From: Sent: 27 July 2022 16:34 To: Subject: FW: more 'in meeting' tweeting/revealing contributors
This was an example of someone else alerting us to Jens tweets
alerting us to a tweet from Jen – after Jen (as forum member) had been in a meeting with
Sent: 21 January 2022 14:07 To: Vikki Jervis < vikki.jervis@bristol.gov.uk >; GLOUCESTERSHIRE CCG) < mark.hemmings@nhs.net >; Subject: more 'in meeting' tweeting/revealing contributors
FYI
Sent: 20 January 2022 16:45 To: Subject: RE: possible follow up
Hi 📕

Thanks for your message. This will be from Jen Smith I think. I'm not sure I'm comfortable with the phraseology of the first tweet



The content of these tweets is as follows:
Unlicensed and unregulated' ALP provision is springing up by 'unscrupulous people' offering 'damaging provision'. Gets better and better...

"The majority of pupils in alternative provision do not have an EHCP." Bristol's head of AP in a Sendias meeting right now.

twitter.com

In the zoom/ground rules sent out to attendees prior to our events, we do ask participants to:
'.... please 'be kind' and respect the confidentiality of all participants.'



From: 40

To: Jervis <<u>vikki.jervis@bristol.gov.uk</u>>;

Subject: Facebook survey about progress since inspection



From:

Sent: 20 January 2022 12:31

To: Vikki Jervis < vikki.jervis@bristol.gov.uk>; S

Subject: Facebook survey about progress since inspection

The caption below the survey link lacks

balance and therefore likely to attract negative responses....

